# Strategic Planning – Department Report College Academic Report 2020-2021 Submitted by Dr. Ken Rathbun

## **Institutional Mission Statement**

The mission of Faith Baptist Bible College and Theological Seminary, as a fundamental Baptist institution of Christian higher education, is to prepare vocational Christian workers and ministry leaders for local churches throughout the world.

# **Department Mission Statement**

The mission of the Academic Department of Faith Baptist Bible College & Theological Seminary is to provide a high quality, spiritually-lasting educational experience for all students that will prepare them for impactful ministry.

**College Academic Outcomes:** 

	The student	Means of Assessment:	Benchmark:	Assessment Results:
1	will explain the 1. Successful completion of 1. 60% of		1. 60% of the students should get a	Oral Exam
	comprehensive	Senior	$3.0 (CAS)^*$ or better on	100% of students achieved a 3.0 or better
	system of Seminar/Systematic		the raw score.	MET
	biblical/theological Theology oral		2. 60% of the students should get a	Bible Knowledge
	truth.	exam	$3.0 (CAS)^*$ or better on	78.7% of students achieved a 3.0 or better
			the raw score. Senior year.	MET
		2. Bible Knowledge exam	3. 60% of the students should get a	Theology Exam
	Seniors only.	(taken Senior year)	$3.0 (CAS)^*$ or better on	89.4% of students achieved a 3.0 or better
			the raw score. Senior year.	MET
		3. Theology Knowledge	*See percentage conversion chart	
		exam (taken Senior	below.	
		year)		
2	will outline our	1. Baptist History &	1. 80% of the students will get a	BH&B— cumulative exam grades
	fundamental	Beliefs—	$3.0 (CAS)^*$ or better on	93.9% of students received a 3.0 or higher.
	Baptist heritage.	cumulative exam	the raw score.	MET
		grades	2. The combined average score on	BH&B—Separatism Questions:
			separatism questions will	The combined average % was 86.1%.
				MET

	Only those in these classes.	2. Baptist History & Beliefs— Separatism sections of exams	be at 3.0 (CAS)* or better on the raw score. 3. 80% of the students will get a 3.0 (CAS)* or better on the raw score.	Bible Doctrine IV Ecclesiology Exam:  82 of 82 students received a = 3.0 or better on the CAS. 100%.  MET		
		3. Bible Doctrine IV (first exam only—on Ecclesiology)				
3	will demonstrate General Education content knowledge necessary for life and ministry. Specified	Assessment Day testing:  1. ETS Proficiency Profile  (juniors only¹) —  critical thinking,  reading, writing,  math, humanities,  social sciences,  natural Sciences  2. C-Base (soph.) —  English/Writing.	<ol> <li>The overall mean score of juniors will fall within the 50th percentile on the ETS Proficiency Profile.</li> <li>70% of sophomores will score 235 or better on the C-Base English &amp; Writing Test</li> </ol>	ETS Proficiency Profile:  The overall mean score was 443.30, which falls within the 50 <sup>th</sup> percentile, and thus achieves the 3.0 or higher on the CAS MET  C-Base: -English: 77.27% received a 3.0 or higher -Writing: 86.63% received a 3.0 or higher Both are MET		
4	will exhibit competency in serving Christ in both formal and informal ministry settings.  Specified	Capstone Exams of     various programs     Seniors     Internship Seniors     Christian Service     ministry     evaluations Whole     student body	<ol> <li>Score a minimum of 3.0 (or equivalent)</li> <li>Score a minimum of 3.0 (or equivalent)</li> <li>Score a minimum of 3.0 (see conversion chart from the Likert Scale, below).</li> </ol>	Capstone:         Internship/Project         Christian Service           TE—100%         TE—86%         100% of the students           LCDM—100%         LCDM—100%         scored a 3.0 or higher on their evaluations.           O.A.—17%         O.A.—100%         on their evaluations.           OL—100%         Note: the amount of evaluations was           WM—100%         WM—100%         smaller this year due to Covid-19.		
5	will identify areas of personal spiritual growth in both character and conduct	A Likert Scale adaptation of the Christian Dispositions form will be given to each graduating	The graduating senior would anonymously complete the form (in a google form format). The results will be sent to the college dean	This goal was approved by the academic committee on 26 March 2021. It will be implemented on Assessment Day beginning in the Spring of 2022.		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Note: the entire student body takes the exam. Further analysis can be found in the General Education Division Report.

	senior on	for data collection
Seniors only	Assessment Day	purposes.
	(spring semester).	Trends and gaps will be analyzed
		and reported by the
		college dean yearly.
		Those areas that score low will be
		reported to the Faculty
		and Student Life to
		address the inadequacies
		and determine methods of
		improvement (discipleship
		emphasis, chapel series,
		etc.).

College Assessment Scale					
4	3	2	1		
Exceeds expectations	Meets expectations	Nearing expectations	Does not meet expectations		
3.5 to 4.0	3.0 to 3.4	2.0-2.9	0 to 1.9		

	Likert	Faith Baptist Bible		Percentage	Letter Grade
	Scale	College Assessment Scale:		Conversion Chart	<b>Equivalent</b>
Strongly Agree	5	3.5 to 4	Exceeds Expectations	90% to 100\%	A
Agree	4	3.0 to 3.4	Meets Expectations	70% to 89%	С-В
Neutral	3	2.0 to 2.9	Nears Expectations	60% to 69%	D
Disagree	2	0.0 to 1.9	Does not meet	0-59%	F
Strongly disagree	1		Expectations		

Observations & Analysis from the data above that will inform Focused Initiatives in the coming year:

Outcome #1: Should the benchmarks be raised from 60%?

- -For the Bible knowledge Exam: those who were in systematic theology (2020-2021: 2 semesters) did worse than the 2021 Spring Senior Seminar class (1 semester). And those in the Fall 2020 SS class were exceptionally poor.
- -For the Theology Exam, while the other two classes met the benchmark, the Fall 2020 SS did not. The fact that it was a smaller class allowed the larger classes to raise the average to an acceptable amount.
- -Since everyone met the benchmark for the Oral exam, is it rigorous enough? Are there provisions in place to grade the exams at a consistently rigorous level and still have a way for the students to be "called" back for certain areas of weakness in order to 1) improve their grade, 2) improve the rigor?

### Outcome #2:

-Are the exams standardized between the Fall and Summer class of Baptist History and Beliefs? Is there a way to mine the data for the separatism questions from the latter class?

### Outcome #3:

-Professors say students get assessment fatigue on Assessment Day (a whole day late in the Spring Semester that consists of test after test after test). Is there a way to incentivize the student to do their best on all the tests, especially on the general education ones (ETS and C-Base)? Perhaps if more of the program-level testing (capstones) could be done within the confines of a class, that would help— at least the seniors. This is a an issue worth discussing.

### Outcome #5:

-Next year we will be able to offer this test to graduating seniors and learn to assess this important area. What trends should we look for? How should we use this data to improve in this area? Should these results be shared with other departments within the institution?